

Result:

1. The set of all positive rational numbers is countable.
2. The set of all negative rational numbers is countable.
3. The set  $\mathbb{Q}$  of all rational numbers is countable.
4. The set  $[0, 1]$  is uncountable.
5. The set of real numbers is uncountable.
6. The set of irrational numbers is uncountable.

1.6 Real Numbers1.6.A Theorem:

The set  $[0, 1] = \{x / 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$  is uncountable.

Proof:

Suppose  $[0, 1] = \{x / 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$  were countable.

Then  $[0, 1] = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$

where every number in  $[0, 1]$  occurs among the  $x_i$ .

Expanding each  $x_i$  in

decimals we have,

$$x = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{10} + \frac{a_2}{10^2} + \frac{a_3}{10^3} + \dots$$

where  $a_0$  is an integer, and  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  are all integers such that  $0 \leq a_i \leq 9$ , for all  $i$ .

This expression for a real number is unique except

when the real number is a rational number of the form

$$\frac{p}{2^m 5^n},$$

where  $p$  is an integer

and  $m$  &  $n$  take any of the values  $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

In such a case, two decimal expansions are possible.

(For example: Express  $\frac{1}{5}$  as  $0.2$  or  $0.1999\dots$ )

either as  $5.000\dots$  or  $0.4999\dots$ ) Conversely, every decimal

of the form  $a_0.a_1a_2a_3\dots$ ,

where  $a_0$  is an integer,

$a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  are all integers

such that  $0 \leq a_i \leq 9$ , for all  $i$ ,

is the decimal expansion

of some real number.

Hence proved.

$$x_1 = 0.a_1^1 a_2^1 a_3^1 \dots$$

$$x_2 = 0.a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2 \dots$$

$$x_n = 0.a_1^n a_2^n a_3^n \dots a_n^n \dots$$

Let  $b_1$  be any integer

from 0 to 8 such that

$$b_1 \neq a_1^1$$

Then let  $b_2$  be any integer

from 0 to 8 such that

$$b_2 \neq a_2^2$$

In general, for each

$n=1, 2, \dots$ , let  $b_n$  be

any integer from 0 to 8

such that  $b_n \neq a_n^n$

$$y = 0.b_1 b_2 \dots b_n \dots$$

Then, for any  $n$ , the decimal

expansion for  $y$  differs

from the decimal expansion

for  $x_n$  since  $b_n \neq a_n^n$ .

Moreover, the decimal

expansion for  $y$  is unique

since no  $b_n$  is equal to 9.

Hence  $y \neq x_n$  for every  $n$

and  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ , which is

contradiction the assumption

that every number in  $[0, 1]$

Occurs among the  $x_i$ ,

Hence  $[0, 1]$  is countable

is wrong. This is contradiction.

Hence  $[0, 1]$  is uncountable.

Hence proof of the theorem

1.6B Corollary:

The set  $R$  of all real

numbers is uncountable.

Proof:

Given  $R$  be the set of all real numbers.

By our known Theorem

"If  $B$  is an infinite Subset of the countable Set  $A$ ,

then  $B$  is countable".

If  $R$  were countable,

then  $[0, 1]$  would be

countable, this is contradicting

to the fact that By our known

Theorem "The set  $[0, 1] = \{x \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$

is uncountable"

Hence  $R$  is uncountable.

Definition: 1.6D

The cantor Set  $K$  is

the set of all numbers  $x$

in  $[0, 1]$  which have a

ternary expansion without

the digit 1.

## 1.7 Least upper bounds

### 1.7 A Defn:-

The subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is

said to be bounded above

if there is a number  $N \in \mathbb{R}$

such that  $x \leq N$  for every  $x \in A$ .

The subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is said to be bounded below if there

is a number  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$M \leq x$  for every  $x \in A$ .

If  $A$  is both bounded

below and bounded above, it

is called  $A$  is bounded

## EX: The set $\mathbb{Z}$ of positive

integers is bounded below

but not bounded above. Hence

$\mathbb{Z}$  is not bounded.

Note: If  $A$  is bounded iff

$A \subset [M, N]$  for some interval

$[M, N]$  of finite length.

### 1.7 B Defn:- If $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is

bounded above, then  $N$  is called

an upper bound for  $A$  if

$x \leq N$  for all  $x \in A$ .

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded

below, then  $M$  is called a

lower bound for  $A$  if

$\in M \leq x$  for every  $x \in A$ .

Note:  $\sup$  abbreviate upper bound and lower bound by u.b. and l.b. respectively.

### 1.7c Defn:

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be bounded above.

The number  $L$  is called the least upper bound for  $A$ , if

- (i)  $L$  is an upper bound for  $A$ ,
- (ii) no number smaller than  $L$  is an upper bound for  $A$ .

greatest lower bound

g.l.b. is  $M$  next, enclosed

(i)  $A$  not bounded

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be bounded

below. The number  $L$  is called the greatest lower bound for  $A$ , if

- (i)  $L$  is a lower bound for  $A$ ,
- (ii) no number greater than  $L$  is a lower bound for  $A$ .

Note: -  $\sup$  abbreviate "least upper bound" as l.u.b and "greatest lower bound" as g.l.b.

greatest lower bound

g.l.b. is  $M$  next, enclosed

(i)  $A$  not bounded

### 1.7.9 Least upper Bound Axiom:

If  $A$  is any nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above, then  $A$  has a least upper bound in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### 1.7.10 Theorem:

If  $A$  is any nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below, then  $A$  has a greatest lower bound in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Proof:

Given  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded below.

To prove that:  $A$  has a

greatest lower bound in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be the set of all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $-x \in A$ .

i.e., the elements of  $B$  are the negatives of the elements of  $A$ .

If  $M$  is a lower bound for  $A$ , then  $-M$  is an upper bound for  $B$ .

For, if  $x \in B$  then  $-x \in A$

and so  $M \leq -x$ ,

$\Rightarrow x \leq -M$ .

Hence  $B$  is bounded above. So that, by our known theorem "If  $A$  is any nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above, then  $A$  has a least upper bound in  $\mathbb{R}$ ," we have,  $B$  has a l.u.b. If  $A$  is the l.u.b. for  $B$  then  $-A$  is the g.l.b. for  $A$ .

Hence  $A$  has a greatest lower bound in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Hence proved the theorem.

## Unit - 2

### 4 - Limits and Metric Spaces:

#### 4.1 Limit of a function on the real line

Defn: Limit:

Let ' $c$ ' and ' $L$ ' be real numbers. The function  $f$  has limit  $L$  as  $x$  approaches  $c$  if, given any positive number  $\epsilon$ , there is a positive number  $\delta$  such that for

all  $x$ ,

$$0 < |x - c| < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon$$